

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,870	10/08/2003	Saravanan Agasaveeran	CIS03-38(7401)	7379
58406 BARRY W. C	7590 06/05/200 HAPIN, ESO.	98	EXAM	TINER
CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC			HUSSAIN, TAUQIR	
	ESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK 00 WEST PARK DRIVE, SUITE 280		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	JGH, MA 01581		2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10681870	10/8/2003	AGASAVEED AN ET AL	CIS03 38(7401)

EXAMINER

BARRY W. CHAPIN, ESQ. CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK 1700 WEST PARK DRIVE, SUITE 280 WESTBOROUGH. MA 01581

 TAUQIR HUSSAIN

 ART UNIT
 PAPER

 2152
 20080604

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 01/25/2008 is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): [2]. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the new claims, explaining how the new claims avoid the references or distinguish from them along with pointing out the support for new claims in the specification. Examiner did not find any mention of support for new claims in the specification.

/Jeffrey Pwu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2146